

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/936,457	Applicant(s) WHITWORTH, IAN JAMES	
	Examiner Jessica L. Rossi	Art Unit 1733	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Jessica L. Rossi</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Mr. Cutitta</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>8 January 2004</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: <i>all</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed applicants that grouping of claims done by another examiner in orginal restriction was incorrect and that article claims 22-23 should have been put in article Group III, not method Group I. However, Applicants maintained their election of Group I. Examiner also informed Applicants that different reasons for restriction would be set forth in the present office action, thereby making the restriction requirement non-final..